APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Portland District, City of Eugene Parks and Open Space Planning, NWP-

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	N (JI)): April 30.	, 2020
----	----------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------	---------------	--------

201	19-197
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Berleley Park and Path State:Oregon County/parish/borough: Lane City: Eugene Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.045777° N, Long. 123.132761° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Refer to Lat./Long. above. Name of nearest waterbody: Amazon Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Willamette River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Amazon Diversion Canal-Amazon Creek HUC #170900030106 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 25 March 2020 Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	1. Waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Non-wetland waters: 1,200 linear feet: 4 width (ft) and/or Wetlands: 3.059 acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW		
	T.14:C		

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 21square miles
Drainage area: 21 square miles
Average annual rainfall: 40 inches
Average annual snowfall: 5 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☑ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The stormwater system drains to Amazon Creek, which flows to the Long Tom River, which flows into the Willamette River (TNW).

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary stream order, if known: 3.
for City View	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: The flows through the City of Eugene's stormwater system (CV-070) maintains a direct hydrological connection continuously for approximately 5 months of the year. The Amazon n-altered tributary providing a stormwater runoff and minimizes potential flooding of property owners.
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 4 feet Average depth: 1 feet Average side slopes: Vertical (1:1 or less).
Amazon Cree	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: The stormwater system has traces of physical sediment such as fines before it discharges into k.
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Concrete lined channel. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: No features are present. Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 0.2 %
the flows become	Flow: Tributary provides for: Intermittent but not seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5 Describe flow regime: Flows pick up as rainfall picks up during the wetter season. Once the wetlands are saturated one more continuous until the rainfall ceases for several days. Typically flows are found within the stormater system as i ent and wetlands provide a continuous flow which lasts for days after the most recent rainfall event. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics: Concrete structure. Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
maintenance o	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:unable to discern an OHWM due to constant disturbance from local uses and the storm of the storm of the storm of the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting s
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
(iii) Che	emical Characteristics:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

	Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Flows from the stormwater system apear to be clear. Identify specific pollutants, if known: urban runoff, fertilizers, heavy metals.
	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2. Char	acteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 3.059 acres Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine Emergent. Wetland quality. Explain: The wetlands are atypical and are managed as public open space and is dominated by turf
grass spec	ies which are mowed and maintained throughout the year. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.
continue to	(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: The wetlands fill and swell with water and once they have reached a point they o provide an overland sheetflow into the City of Eugene Stormwater System and to Amazon Creek. The direct hydrological remains until the rain ceases for almost a week.
	Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow Characteristics: Overland sheetflows from the wetlands provide a direct hydrological connection into the City of ormwater System and Amazon Creek. The stormwater system discharges directly into Amazon Creek as reflected on the City Stormwater Management plan (City View location - CV-070) which has a 4 foot diameter pipe due to the volume of flow in the control of the cont
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
path and c	(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Flows from Wetland 2 have been observed on the pedestrian oncrete staining has been observed as well. Wetlands 1a, 1b, and 1c provide hydrological connections to the stormwater d staining from constant flows has been observed on the grates. ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: The vegetation from the wetlands to the locations of the stormwater grates is
consistent	throughout the site. Soils in the area are hydric Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
1	(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 5 - 10-year floodplain.
(Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water color in the wetlands is clear, the site is managed by the city as a park and no known sources of contamination occur within the wetlands. Identify specific pollutants, if known: fertilizers to keep the park green.
(iii)	Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:Facultative grasses and is maintained by the City of Eugene. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

	☐ Other environmentall: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diver	y-sensitive species. Explair sity. Explain findings:	in findings: .	
3.	Characteristics of all wetlands ad All wetland(s) being considere Approximately (3.059) acres	ed in the cumulative analys	sis: 4	
	For each wetland, specify the	following:		
	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
	Wetland 1a - No	0.462	Wetland 1b - No	0.072
	Wetland 1c - No	0.645	Wetland 2 - No	1.881

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands are performing a chemical treatment of rainfall and stormwater from the sourrounding development. The wetlands are also delaying stormwater surges by retaining flows onsite prior to discharging into the stormwater pipe and into Amazon Creek. The wetlands are also a park amenity feature during the summer time when the rainfall ceases and is maintained by the park service for recreational purposes.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetland 1a, Wetland 1b, and Wetland 1c reduce stormwater surges and flooding impacts to downstream waters such as the Willamette River. The stormwater system shown in the City of Eugene's Stormwater Management Plan for the Amazon Basin (City View-CV-070) provides the direct path for the wetlands to discharge flows into the Amazon Creek, which eventually discharges into the Willamette River. The wetlands have the capacity to reduce flood waters and treat pollutants prior to discharging and continuing downstream. These features provide habitat for rural species of birds who utilize the production of the wetlands for foraging insects and plant materials to construct nests. The wetlands provide direct hydrological connetions into the intake grates of the stormwater system and remain connected for several months until the rain ceases and the area dries during the summer. Wetland 2 is adjacent to Amazon Creek and is seperated by a concrete path. During the wetter months, the wetland

provides overland sheetflow into Amazon Creek by flowing over the pedestrian path. Concerete staining from the sheetflow has been observed outside the study area to the west.

D.		DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):			
	1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.			
	2.	 RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☑ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Amazon Creek located north of the study area is a perennial water and discharges into the Long Tom River before discharging into the Willamette River. ☑ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The City of Eugene Stormwater system discharges flows in the region directly into Amazon Creek. The flows observed in the stormwater system at this location have been present typically from November/December to April/May. 			
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 1,200 linear feet, 4 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .			
	3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.			
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .			
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:			
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:			
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.881 acres.			

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 3.059 acres.

6.	Wetlands adjacent t	o non-RPWs that flov	w directly or	indirectly in	ito TNWs.
----	---------------------	----------------------	---------------	---------------	-----------

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6

⁸See Footnote # 3.

	7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain: Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:Delineation dated 20 May 2019. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps:

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

\boxtimes	Corps navigable waters' study: Willamette River.
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:HUC #170900030106.
	USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24K EUGENE WEST.
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Google Earth 2019.
	or 🔀 Other (Name & Date):Delineation site photos 2019.
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
\boxtimes	Other information (please specify): City of Eugene Stormwater Management Plan VOL II for Amazon Creek (https://www.eugene
or.g	ov/1643/The-Stormwater-Basin-Master-Plan).

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The City of Eugene's Stormwater Management Plan for the Amazon Basin (City View-CV-070) reflects the connectivity and direct discharge into Amazon Creek. The maps provided in the delineation did not depict the stormwater system and only provided the City's stormwater easement. The stormwater system intake grates creates the direct hydrological connection of overland sheetflows from the wetlands located in the park, roadways, and other nearby areas directly discharging flows into Amazon Creek.

This AJD was coordinated with Corps Headquarters and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 10 on April 6, 2020. The EPA concurred with the Corps District's findings in an email dated April 13, 2020. No response was received from Corps Headquarters during the established review period.